

ENTROPY GENERATION IN COSMOLOGICAL PARTICLE CREATION

Mario A. CASTAGNINO,^{*} Fabián H. GAIOLI,[†] and Daniel M. SFORZA[‡]
*Instituto de Astronomía y Física del Espacio (IAFE),
Casilla de Correo 67, Sucursal 28, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina.*

(Received

Abstract

A very simplified model of the Universe is considered in order to propose an alternative approach to the irreversible evolution of the Universe at very early times. The entropy generation at the quantum stage can be thought as a consequence of an instability of the system. Then particle creation arises from this instability.

^{*}e-mail: castagni@iafe.uba.ar

[†]e-mail: gaioli@iafe.uba.ar

[‡]e-mail: sforza@iafe.uba.ar

Entropy generation in cosmological particle creation has been extensively studied during the last years. Essentially, the formalisms were developed in the framework of quantum field theory in curved spacetime and at this level it was already demonstrated the dissipative nature of this process [1, 2, 3, 4]. The notion of entropy and its “emergence” in many of these descriptions [2, 3] is based on the concept of “coarse graining,” by means of projection operator techniques. This approach is also successfully realized in the framework of quantum cosmology [5]. However, entropy generation was really understood only in the later stages of cosmic evolution. In the quantum creation regime, from the usual point of view, it was not clear which mechanism leads to entropy generation. It is argued that the evolution of the system follows strictly quantum mechanical laws which are “time-reversal invariant.” Then, without external influence, we cannot expect any change in entropy [1].

An alternative point of view is to consider that quantum mechanical laws are not “always” time-reversal invariant. There are many models for quantum unstable systems (See, e.g. Ref. [6]); among them, a very relevant case is the Friedrichs model [7], which was widely studied using the rigged Hilbert space formulation of quantum mechanics [8]. This model becomes of interest to us because our cosmological model can be reduced to the Friedrichs one in a short time approximation, as we shall see.

Let us introduce the model. We shall use a quantum, conformally coupled, massive scalar field to represent the matter degrees of freedom. Then, the action S reads

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} N \left\{ \frac{m_p^2}{12} R - \frac{1}{2} \left[g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi + \xi R \Phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} m \Phi^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where m stands for the mass of the fields quanta.

We shall also assume that the metric corresponds to a closed Friedmann-Robertson-Walker model. Hence, the scalar field must be homogeneous in order to satisfy the Einstein equations. Nevertheless, we want to go beyond the two degrees of freedom of this minisuperspace and deal with a statistical system. So, to obtain a consistent model with inhomogeneous matter fields, we shall not impose the momenta constraints but only the Hamiltonian constraint averaged over each spatial hypersurface. Formally, it is expressed in the fact that the lapse function N depends only on time (for a detailed discussion see Ref. [5]).

Then the interval results

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t)dt^2 + q^2(t)g_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (2)$$

where g_{ij} is the induced metric on the spatial hypersurface labeled with time t . We can express an arbitrary scalar field configuration in terms of the eigenfunctions of the spatial Laplacian, the spherical harmonics on the 3-sphere Q_{lm}^n :

$$\Phi(t, x) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_n \phi_n(t) Q_n(x), \quad (3)$$

where n denotes the set $\{n, l, m\}$.

Therefore, we can derive the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{N}{2q} \left\{ -\frac{1}{m_p^2} \pi^2 - m_p^2 q^2 + \sum_n \left[p_n^2 + (n^2 + m^2 q^2) \phi_n^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where $\pi = (-m_p^2/N)\dot{q}$ and $p_n = (q/N)\dot{\phi}_n$ are the canonical momenta associated with q

and ϕ_n , respectively.

At this point, we shall not follow the standard canonical quantization procedure. In order to recover a notion of time in quantum gravity (for a valuable treatise on this subject see Ref. [9]), we can break the temporal reparametrization invariance and choose a privileged time. In this framework, we can use the “probabilistic time,” previously introduced by one of us [10].

We break the temporal reparametrization invariance fixing the lapse function N . Each particular choice for the fixing implies a different probabilistic time. In our case, the more appropriated one is to choose $N = q$, and θ turns out analogous to the conformal time in quantum field theory in curved spacetimes (for details see Refs. [12, 13]). Then, following the concepts of Ref. [11], we can obtain a Schrödinger equation, where the temporal evolution is labeled by the probabilistic time

$$i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\theta} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2m_p^2}\partial_q^2 - \frac{m_p^2}{2}q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_n \left[-\partial_{\phi_n}^2 + (n^2 + m^2q^2)\phi_n^2 \right] \right\} \Psi. \quad (5)$$

The Hamiltonian can be rewritten in terms of the usual creation and annihilation operators as

$$H = -\Omega_0 a^\dagger a + \int_0^\infty d\Omega \Omega b_\Omega^\dagger b_\Omega + \lambda \int_0^\infty d\Omega g(\Omega) (a + a^\dagger)^2 (b_\Omega + b_\Omega^\dagger)^2 + \text{const}, \quad (6)$$

where we have regularized the expression of H and we have slightly modified it without substantially affecting the qualitative behavior of the system. This trick allows us to show the dissipative characteristic of the system because the Poincaré period becomes infinite [13, 14].

It is very hard to deal with the interaction term. However, if we take some physical processes into account, we can obtain a diagonalization of the Hamiltonian. It is easy to verify that the interaction term does not conserve the particle number, it “connects” states which differ in a multiple of pairs of particles. For giving an explicit matrix representation, we adopt the basis associated to the “particle number representation,” since in the limit of weak particle creation (which will be sufficient to demonstrate the existence of dissipation) the number of particles is an adiabatic invariant. In addition, we shall consider particle creation in the first stages of the cosmic evolution, when occupation numbers are small and spontaneous particle creation dominates over stimulated creation [1]. Then, in a first approximation, at small times since Universe creation, we can consider the creation of a pair of particles in each mode, and only in the lowest occupation number states. This sort of approximation is widely used in nuclear physics, where a collective state is obtained by diagonalizing the interaction in a limited number of shell-model states of particle excitation. It is usual to refer to such calculations as the “Tamm-Dancoff method” [15]. Then, under these conditions we can consider only the following states:

$$|1; 0, \dots, 0, \dots\rangle \equiv |1\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad |1; \dots, 2\Omega, \dots\rangle \equiv |\Omega\rangle,$$

where the first place corresponds to the quanta associated to a , and the following places correspond to the bath (scalar field) modes. So, the Hamiltonian in this sector reads

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} -\Omega_0 + 3\lambda \int g(\Omega)d\Omega & \dots & 3\sqrt{2}\lambda g(\Omega) & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ 3\sqrt{2}\lambda g(\Omega) & & -\Omega_0 + 2\Omega + 12\lambda g(\Omega) + 3\lambda \int g(\Omega)d\Omega & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Redefining

$$\omega_0 = -\Omega_0 + 3\lambda \int_0^\infty g(\Omega) d\Omega, \quad (8)$$

$$\omega = \omega_0 + 2\Omega, \quad (9)$$

we obtain the Hamiltonian of a Friedrichs model for this subspace (one-particle sector of an oscillator in a bosonic reservoir [12]), in the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0 & \dots & \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}\lambda g\left(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2}\right) & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \\ \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}\lambda g\left(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2}\right) & & \frac{\omega}{2} + 6\lambda g\left(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2}\right) & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

It can be rewritten as

$$H = \omega_0 |1\rangle\langle 1| + \int_{\omega_0}^\infty d\omega \left[\frac{\omega}{2} + 6\lambda g\left(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2}\right) \right] |\omega\rangle\langle \omega| + \lambda \int_{\omega_0}^\infty d\omega \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} g\left(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2}\right) (|1\rangle\langle \omega| + |\omega\rangle\langle 1|), \quad (11)$$

where $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $g(0) = 0$. The associated Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{L}^2(\frac{\omega_0}{2}, \infty)$.

The eigenvalue problem can be exactly solved (see Ref. [8]). This well-known solution includes eigendistributions which must be interpreted in an adequate extension of the Hilbert space.

In order to make explicit an exponential decaying law we can analytically extend the problem to the complex plane (for a detailed derivation see Refs. [8, 12]). In this case, the second-sheet extension of the reduced resolvent of the Hamiltonian,

$$\alpha^{-1}(z) = \left[z - \omega_0 - \frac{9}{2} \lambda^2 \int_{\omega_0}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{g^2(\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{2})}{z - \frac{\omega}{2} - 6\lambda g(\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{2})} \right]^{-1},$$

presents a complex pole z_0 . Thus, in a generalized spectral decomposition of the Hamiltonian z_0 appears as a “complex eigenvalue” [8]. For small values of λ , z_0 can be estimated as [16]

$$z_o \approx \left[\omega_0 + \mathcal{P} \int_{\omega_0}^{\infty} d\omega \frac{\frac{9}{2} \lambda^2 g^2(\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{2})}{\omega_0 - \frac{\omega}{2} - 6\lambda g(\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{2})} \right] + i \left[-\pi \frac{9}{2} \lambda^2 g^2(\frac{\omega_0}{2}) \right], \quad (12)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes principal part. z_0 is usually expressed as

$$z_0 = \tilde{\omega}_0 - i \frac{\gamma}{2} \quad (\gamma > 0), \quad (13)$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_0 - \omega_0$ is the level shift and $1/\gamma$ is the mean-life of the unstable level.

By performing complex integration and using the residues theorem, a complex contribution coming from the pole z_0 gives rise to a set of states which we shall use to obtain a spectral resolution of H . The associated eigendistributions (corresponding to the discrete part) from right and left, respectively are [8]

$$|1^-\rangle = [\alpha'_{II+}(z_0)]^{-1/2} \left(|1\rangle + \int_{\Gamma} d\omega \frac{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \lambda g(\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{2})}{z_0 - \frac{\omega}{2} - 6\lambda g(\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{2})} |\omega\rangle \right), \quad (14)$$

$$\langle 1^+| = [\alpha'_{II+}(z_0)]^{-1/2} \left(\langle 1| + \int_{\Gamma} d\omega \frac{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \lambda g(\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{2})}{z_0 - \frac{\omega}{2} - 6\lambda g(\frac{\omega - \omega_0}{2})} \langle \omega| \right),$$

where the curve Γ passes just under the pole z_0 and II stands for the second Riemann-sheet. The vectors corresponding to the continuous solution are

$$|\omega^-\rangle = |\omega\rangle + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{\lambda g(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2})}{\tilde{\alpha}_+(\omega)} \left[|1\rangle + \int_{\omega_0}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \lambda g(\frac{\omega'-\omega_0}{2})}{\omega - \frac{\omega'}{2} - 6\lambda g(\frac{\omega'-\omega_0}{2}) + i\epsilon} |\omega'\rangle \right], \quad (15)$$

$$\langle\omega^+| = \langle\omega| + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{\lambda g(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2})}{\alpha_-(\omega)} \left[\langle 1| + \int_{\omega_0}^{\infty} d\omega' \frac{\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} \lambda g(\frac{\omega'-\omega_0}{2})}{\omega - \frac{\omega'}{2} - 6\lambda g(\frac{\omega'-\omega_0}{2}) - i\epsilon} \langle\omega'| \right],$$

where $\alpha_{\pm} = \alpha(\omega \pm i\epsilon)$ and

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_+(\omega)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_+(\omega)} + 2\pi i \frac{\delta(\frac{\omega}{2} + 6\lambda g(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2}) - z_0)}{\alpha'_{II+}(z_0)}.$$

The orthonormality and completeness relations read

$$\langle 1^+ | 1^- \rangle = 1, \quad \langle 1^+ | \omega^- \rangle = \langle \omega^+ | 1^- \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \omega^+ | \omega'^- \rangle = \delta(\omega - \omega'), \quad (16)$$

$$|1^-\rangle \langle 1^+| + \int_{\frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{\infty} d\omega |\omega^-\rangle \langle \omega^+| = 1. \quad (17)$$

Finally, the spectral decomposition of H is

$$H = z_0 |1^-\rangle \langle 1^+| + \int_{\frac{\omega_0}{2}}^{\infty} d\omega \omega |\omega^-\rangle \langle \omega^+|. \quad (18)$$

The eigenvectors (17) (from right and left, respectively) and their associated complex eigenvalue z_0 have not sense in the standard Hilbert space formulation of quantum mechanics. However, H admits a self-adjoint extension to a “rigged Hilbert space,” where the states corresponding to complex eigenvalues acquire meaning [8, 17, 12]. The idea is

to restrict the topology of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ in order to have a nuclear space Ω such that $\Omega \subset \mathcal{H}$. Then, the linear functionals on Ω belong to a bigger space $\Omega^\times$, the topological dual of Ω , such that we build up a Gel'fand triplet [18]: $\Omega \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \Omega^\times$. The nuclear space is chosen by using convergence prescriptions. In our case, this condition is fulfilled if we use Hardy class functions [19, 20] in order to define two nuclear subspaces Ω_+ and Ω_- , for the upper and the lower half-plane, respectively (Hardy classes from above and below, respectively) [21]. From the Paley-Wiener theorem [19] we know that the time evolution splits into two semigroups, i.e.

$$|1^-(\theta)\rangle = e^{-iH\theta}|1^-\rangle = e^{-i\tilde{\omega}_0\theta}e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}\theta}|1^-\rangle, \quad \theta > 0, \quad (19)$$

$$|1^+(\theta)\rangle = e^{-iH\theta}|1^+\rangle = e^{-i\tilde{\omega}_0\theta}e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}\theta}|1^+\rangle, \quad \theta < 0.$$

Then, the state $|1^-(\theta)\rangle$ decays towards the past and the state $|1^+(\theta)\rangle$ decays towards the future. These vectors belong to the dual spaces of Ω_+ and Ω_- , respectively $\Omega_+^\times$ and $\Omega_-^\times$. The physical meaning of the $\Omega_\pm^\times$ -states is the following: the state $|1^-(\theta)\rangle$ corresponds to the evolution from an unstable state towards a stable one. The state $|1^+(\theta)\rangle$ corresponds to the formation process (which is usually neglected in the case of a large mean-life).

The survival amplitude of the state $|1\rangle$ in time θ is given by

$$\langle 1|e^{-iH\theta}|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\alpha'_{II+}(z_0)}e^{-iz_0\theta} + \frac{9}{2}\lambda^2 \int_{\omega_0}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega\theta} \frac{g^2(\frac{\omega-\omega_0}{2})}{\alpha_+(\omega)\alpha_-(\omega)} d\omega. \quad (20)$$

The first term is dominant over the second for short times (with the exception of the

short initial *Zeno* period [22]), showing an exponential behavior. On the other hand, the second term is the continuous background and is relevant for later times. In this way the initial matter-less state $|1\rangle$ is unstable, favouring transitions to states with greater number of particles with a smooth exponential law.

Formally, this dissipative behavior can be made explicit by the following “H-theorem.”

Let us consider the operator [23]

$$\mathcal{Y} = |1^-\rangle\langle 1^-|. \quad (21)$$

It evolves according to the Heisenberg equation of motion

$$\mathcal{Y}(\theta) = e^{iH\theta}\mathcal{Y}(0)e^{-iH\theta} = e^{\gamma\theta}\mathcal{Y}(0). \quad (22)$$

$\mathcal{Y}(\theta)$ is a monotonic increasing function in time θ , i.e.

$$\frac{d\mathcal{Y}}{d\theta} > 0. \quad (23)$$

Any component of this operator is therefore a Lyapunov function. Hence, in this model, “dissipation” results as a consequence of the resonance between the scale factor and the scalar field (this fact was already suggested as the responsible of an instability at the classical level with the consequent apparition of chaos [24]), promoting states with greater occupation numbers, as is usually expected. Thus, dissipation can be thought as a generalized particle creation process, the vacuum of the matter field is unstable under pair creation, whereby changes in the “system” (i.e. the degree of freedom related to gravi-

tation, the scale factor) lead to excitations of degrees of freedom of the “bath” (i.e. the infinity degrees of freedom of the scalar matter field), which then propagate or diffuse away [4]. What we have found is that these excitations are originated in an intrinsic resonance (associated with the pole z_0) between the system and the bath.

Although the model we have presented here cannot be considered as a very realistic one, it constitutes a first step for an alternative approach to the problem of finding the yet “unclear” mechanism of dissipation at the early stage of the Universe.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by Universidad de Buenos Aires.

References

- [1] B.L. Hu and D. Pavon, Phys. Lett. **B180** (1986) 329.
- [2] B.L. Hu and H.E. Kandrup, Phys. Rev. **D35** (1987) 1776.
- [3] H.E. Kandrup, Phys. Rev. **D37** (1988) 3505 ; **D47** (1988) 3413.
- [4] E. Calzetta and B.L. Hu, Phys. Rev. **D40** (1989) 656.
- [5] E. Calzetta, M. Castagnino, and R. Scoccimarro, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 2806.
- [6] V. Weisskopf and E.P. Wigner, Z. Phys. **63** (1930) 54; **65** (1930) 18; T.D. Lee, Phys. Rev. **95** (1954) 1329; V. Glaser and G. Källén, Nucl. Phys. **2** (1956) 706 ; P.T. Matthews and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. **112** (1958) 283; K. Nakanishi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **19** (1958) 607; M. Lévy, Nuovo Cimento **13** (1959) 115; J. Schwinger, Ann. Phys. (NY) **9** (1960) 169; L. Fonda, G.C. Ghirardi, and A. Rimini, Rep. Prog. Phys. **41** (1978) 587.
- [7] K.O. Friedrichs, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (1948) 361. See also E.B. Davies, J. Math. Phys. **15** (1974) 2036; P. Exner, *Open Quantum Systems and Feynman integrals* (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985).
- [8] E.C.G. Sudarshan, C.B. Chiu, and V. Gorini, Phys. Rev. **D18** (1978) 2914 ; G. Parravicini, V. Gorini, and E.C.G. Sudarshan, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980) 2208; E.C.G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. **A46** (1992) 37; E.C.G. Sudarshan and C.B. Chiu, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 2602; I.E. Antoniou and I. Prigogine, Physica **A192** (1993) 443.

- [9] K.V. Kuchař, *Time and interpretations of Quantum Gravity* in “Proceedings of the 4th Canadian Conference on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics,” eds. G. Kunstatter, D. Vincent, and J. Williams, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).
- [10] M. Castagnino, Phys. Rev. D**39** (1989) 2216.
- [11] M. Castagnino and F. Lombardo, Phys. Rev. D**48** (1993) 1722.
- [12] M. Castagnino, F. Gaioli, and E. Gunzig, *Cosmological features of time asymmetry*, Preprint IAFE (1995).
- [13] M. Castagnino, F. Gaioli, and D. Sforza, *Substantial irreversibility in quantum cosmology* in “Proc. of the V Int. Workshop on Instabilities and Nonequilibrium Structures,” Santiago de Chile, eds. E. Tirapegui and W. Zeller (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995).
- [14] M. Castagnino, E. Gunzig, I. Nardone, I. Prigogine, and S. Tasaki, *Quantum cosmology and large Poincaré systems* in “Fundamentals papers in Theoretical Physics,” ed. N. Namiki (APS Publ., New York, 1994).
- [15] A.M. Lane, *Nuclear Theory: Pairing force correlations and collective motion* (W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1964).
- [16] N. Bleistein, H. Neumann, R. Handelsman, and L.P. Horwitz, Nuovo Cimento A**41** (1977) 389.
- [17] A. Böhm, J. Math. Phys. **22** (1981) 2813; A. Böhm, M. Gadella, and G.B. Mainland, Am. J. Phys. **57** (1989) 1103; A. Böhm and M. Gadella, *Dirac kets, Gamow vectors*,

- and Gel'fand triplets, "Lectures Notes in Physics," vol. 348 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [18] I.M. Gel'fand and N.Y. Vilenkin, *Generalized Functions*, vol. 4 (Academic Press, New York, 1964); A. Böhm, *The Rigged Hilbert Space and Quantum Mechanics*, "Lectures Notes in Physics," vol. 78 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978).
- [19] See, for example, P. Duren, *Theory of H^p -spaces* (Academic Press, New York, 1970).
- [20] M. Gadella, J. Math. Phys. **24** (1983) 1462; **24** (1983) 2142; **25** (1984) 2481.
- [21] M. Castagnino, M. Gadella, F. Gaioli, and R. Laura, *Gamow vectors and time asymmetry*, Preprint IAFE (1995).
- [22] B. Misra and E.C.G. Sudarshan, J. Math. Phys. **18** (1977) 756 ; C.B. Chiu, E.C.G. Sudarshan, and B. Misra, Phys. Rev. D**16** (1977) 520.
- [23] H. Hasegawa, T. Petrosky, I. Prigogine, and S. Tasaki, Found. Phys. **21** (1991) 263.
- [24] E. Calzetta and C. El Hasi, Class. Quantum Grav. **10** (1993) 1825.